

15 December 1970

JGO

On Monday you recall Frank requested a copy of our statement on S. 4571 and accepted our suggestion of providing him with the sectional analysis which he could distribute to the membership. The statement and the copies of the sectional analysis are attached. You will note that there is bracketed optional or explanatory statements in the statement on S. 4571. Is there any reason to eliminate them from the copy you will give to Frank? I assume you will want to bring this down to Frank.



LLM

".....but I'd like to check this for the record." (Upon checking with the Civil Service Commission we find that they currently interpret such language to the contrary, i. e., that an annuity may not be resumed following divorce.)

*Revised*

Colonel White:

The proposed amendment conforms to a provision that is now in the Civil Service Retirement Law. It is our understanding that the Civil Service Commission currently holds that once a child's annuity has been terminated because of marriage, it cannot be resumed following a divorce. There is a technical distinction between a "child" and a "student" and it is not as clear that this same ruling would apply to a "student annuitant".